Polish Development Fund (PFR S.A.)

June 29, 2022

S&P Global

Ratings

This report does not constitute a rating action.

Credit Hig

Overview Key strengths

projects.

Almost certain lik support from the government. Critical role as a government tool for unique policy

Explicit state guarantees against all bonded debt.

Uncertain exit strategy from some governmentspurred investments.

The fully-state owned Polish Development Fund (PFR) acts as a government tool for major policy projects. On top of serving as a key channel of the Polish government's fiscal response to the COVID-19 pandemic, starting this year PFR will conduct a new policy objective of administering grants allocated to Poland from the EU's post-pandemic Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF). Poland is eligible for a sizable €23.9 billion (4.3% of 2021 GDP) of grants until 2027, with the PFR set to maintain the liquidity of grant-financed projects via bridge financing.

S&P Global Ratings considers the credit quality of PFR group and its parent PFR S.A. as equal to that of the sovereign. All PFR's bonds are guaranteed by the Polish government and there is close government oversight of the institution's activities. There is also a strong track-record of capital injections from the state to PFR. Together with its major public policy role, these factors allow as to believe there is an almost certain likelihood that the state would provide timely and sufficient support to PFR in the event of stress.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our view that the likelihood of extraordinary support by the Polish government for PFR group will remain almost certain. In particular, we expect that the group will obtain further public policy responsibilities as the COVID-19 pandemic and the government's fiscal response recedes.

ghlights		@spglob SECOND
	Key risks	Heiko Ve Frankfur 49-693-3 heiko.ve @spglob
ikelihood of extraordinary government e sole ultimate owner, the Polish	Exposure to changes in government policy.	

RatingsDirect[®]

```
PRIMARY CONTACT
```

Karen Vartapetov, PhD Frankfurt 49-693-399-9225 karen.vartapetov bal.com

DARY CONTACT

/erhaag, CFA,FRM urt -399-9215 erhaag bal.com

Downside scenario

We might take a negative rating action--even if the sovereign rating is unchanged--if we conclude that PFR group's policy role for and/or its link with the Polish government has weakened. For example, this could be signaled by the erosion of the group's public-policy mandate, perhaps reflected by the more rapid contraction of its balance sheet than we currently expect on the back of only moderate growth of the EU RRF's related lending against the phase-out of the COVID-19-focused programs. Another scenario that could suggest weakening policy role could be PFR's increased involvement (via its PFR TFI subsidiary) in managing private investor funds (such as private pension savings)--a function that could be undertaken by private sector entities in the competitive market.

Upside scenario

A positive rating action would be subject to an equivalent action on Poland.

Rationale

We rate PFR S.A. (parent company of the group) under our group rating methodology and criteria for government-related entities (GREs). We believe that the institution de-facto represents the Polish government's key agency for implementing unique policy projects. We believe that there is an almost certain likelihood that the Polish government would provide timely and adequate extraordinary support to PFR group to enable its debt service, if needed, and equalize the group credit profile (GCP) with our ratings on Poland (foreign currency A-/Stable/A-2; local currency A/Stable/A-1). Our assessment of the likelihood of extraordinary support reflects our view of PFR group's:

- Integral link to the government, demonstrated by the state's 100% ultimate ownership, its regular capital injections, and explicit timely, irrevocable, and unconditional state guarantees against PFR group's bonded debt. The government defines and approves PFR group's long-term strategy and maintains close oversight of its activities. We also understand that PFR group is the state institution in charge of coordinating the activities of all other development institutions in Poland; and
- Critical public-policy role for Poland as the government's prime public development institution, a role that cannot be readily undertaken by a private entity. Apart from its original mandate of catalyzing private investment, PFR group has been used by the government to implement several major policy projects, including emergency support to private sector entities, promotion of the private pension savings scheme, the provision of financial support to the private sector amid the COVID-19 pandemic, and the administration of the EU RRF's grants. PFR group's assets currently represent about 3.4% of Poland's GDP, making it one of the country's largest GREs.

We treat PFR S.A. as the group's parent given its direct and indirect control of all group subsidiaries, namely its ability to direct their strategy and hold their cash flow. PFR S.A. concentrates over 90% of the group's capital and assets. We therefore believe that the creditworthiness of PFR S.A. is closely tied to that of the consolidated group and rate it at the level of the GCP and ultimately the sovereign.

Mandate and activities: Poland's development institution with a broad mandate in critical policy areas

Established in 2016, PFR group's core function was initially focused on fostering private sector development by direct (equity) and indirect investment across a wide range of sectors, including technology, infrastructure, and energy. Over the years, the group's mandate has broadened and now includes the following areas:

- Bridging infrastructure gaps in the Polish economy (including transport, municipal, and digital infrastructure);
- Venture capital market development (direct investment and via existing private sector venture capital funds);
- Facilitating the government's pension reform by managing a long-term pension savings scheme; and
- Fostering investment in affordable housing and developing the housing rental market.

More broadly, however, we believe that in its current form the group represents the Polish government's arm for implementing unique policy projects. For example, the institution was instrumental in increasing domestic ownership of the financial system by acquiring a stake in Poland's second largest bank, Bank Pekao S.A., in 2016-2017 when former key shareholder UniCredit decided to exit the market. In 2019, the institution was mandated with the provision of infrastructure for the development of the private pillar of the

Polish Development Fund (PFR S.A.)

Polish pension system. The reform introduces an auto-enrolled long-term retirement saving program managed by private sector asset managers and co-funded by the state. PFR is a public operator of the system, providing the infrastructure for financial institutions (which PFR also formally authorizes to participate) and employees/employers interested in taking part.

In 2020, the government used PFR group, via parent company PFR S.A., as its tool to mitigate the economic fallout from the COVID-19 pandemic under the Financial Shield fiscal stimulus program. This has increased the institution's balance sheet multifold. Over 2020-2022, PFR S.A. has provided partially nonrepayable liquidity loans for micro, small and midsize, and large firms of over Polish zloty (PLN) 63 billion (3% of GDP), with some parts transformed into grants as recipients met certain criteria, such as job retention. The program has been funded by PFR S.A.-issued bonds, guaranteed by the government.

The COVID-19-related program will gradually unwind in the coming years as loans are repaid or written-off. However, we do not expect this to erode PFR's government policy role. This is because in 2022 the institution was mandated with the new function of administering grants allocated from the EU's RRF. Poland is eligible for €23.9 billion (4.3% of 2021 GDP) of grants until 2027. PFR will channel the RRF grants to preselected domestic projects and cover potential liquidity gaps during their execution. To finance the latter, we understand that PFR will use its free liquidity or issue sovereign-guaranteed bonds.

We believe that the size and the type of projects the institution has been historically implementing make it almost irreplaceable by any other GRE or private sector entity.

Ownership structure: The state fully owns PFR and guarantees it public debt

Since its establishment, PFR group's members have been joint stock companies. The government ultimately owns 100% of the group's parent PFR S.A., via direct control of 99.9% of its shares through the state treasury and a 0.1% stake via the government-owned Polish development bank (BGK). We do not expect the ownership structure to change and anticipate that PFR S.A. will remain state-owned.

The government appoints its representatives to the supervisory board, which in turn appoints the management board of PFR S.A. At present, a few senior government officials sit on the supervisory board. PFR S.A. is not subject to the prudential regulation of the Polish central bank.

PFR's capital group currently includes the parent company (PFR S.A.), which concentrates the majority of its capital and assets, and several subsidiaries and controlled entities. Among others, these include: PFR TFI--involved in managing specialized closed-end funds and open-end funds dedicated to Poland's Employee Capital Plan (private pension savings scheme); PFR Ventures--the fund of funds manager, providing financing via venture capital funds; PFR Nieruchomosci--the affordable housing rental construction and program manager; and PFR Portal PPK--the operator of the employee capital program related to the pension reform.

We also understand that PFR S.A. is mandated by the government to coordinate the activities of other development institutions, with PFR's CEO serving as the chairman of a wider association of all development institutions in Poland.

The government has provided timely, irrevocable, and unconditional budget guarantees against all debt issued by PFR S.A. since 2020 in relation to the COVID-19 support program. This debt represents over 95% of PFR's total commercial debt, with the remainder being the investment loan from the state-controlled bank (provided for the Bank Pekao stake acquisition) and the liquidity facility granted by the same bank. We also understand that the government will likely continue extending guarantees for PFR S.A.'s future borrowings, including those conducted under the RRF grants' administration function.

Track record of support: Regular capital injections amid additional channels of government support

Polish Development Fund (PFR S.A.)

The government made several equity injections into PFR group in the past few years. By year-end 2021, shareholders' equity increased multiple times to about PLN12.9 billion, compared with PLN 2.8 billion in 2019. We understand the government is willing to make further injections to support the fund's activities in the future.

Apart from capital support and state guarantees, other channels of potential extraordinary support include the exemption of PFR from dividend payments to the budget, and lending from other state-owned and controlled financial institutions.

Because we equalize the GCP with that on the sovereign, we do not consider the group stand-alone credit profile to be a rating driver. This reflects our view that the likelihood of extraordinary government support to service debt is almost certain, and we currently do not consider this support subject to transition risk.

The institution's funding base comprises shareholders' equity, interbank loans, and bonds issued under the pandemic-related stimulus program. The debt repayment profile is relatively heavy, with over 65% of the bonds coming due in 2024-2025. We understand that the government will continue supporting PFR to fulfill its obligations on time and in full by explicitly accounting for corresponding subsidies in its annual budget and channeling them to the institution ahead of debt maturities.

Related Criteria

- General Criteria: Group Rating Methodology, July 1, 2019
- General Criteria: Methodology For Linking Long-Term And Short-Term Ratings, April 7, 2017
- General Criteria: Rating Government-Related Entities: Methodology And Assumptions, March 25, 2015
- General Criteria: Principles Of Credit Ratings, Feb. 16, 2011

Related Research

Sovereign Risk Indicators, April 11, 2022. An interactive version can be found at www.spratings.com/SRI

Ratings Detail (as of June 29, 2022)*

Polish Development Fund (PFR S.A.)		
Issuer Credit Rating		
Foreign Currency		A-/Stable/A-2
Local Currency		A/Stable/A-1
Issuer Credit Ratings History		
23-Jun-2021	Foreign Currency	A-/Stable/A-2
23-Jun-2021	Local Currency	A/Stable/A-1
Sovereign Rating		
Poland		
Foreign Currency		A-/Stable/A-2
Local Currency		A/Stable/A-1

Ratings Detail (as of June 29, 2022)*

*Unless otherwise noted, all ratings in this report are global scale ratings. S&P Global Ratings credit ratings on the global scale are comparable across countries. S&P Global Ratings credit ratings on a national scale are relative to obligors or obligations within that specific country. Issue and debt ratings could include debt guaranteed by another entity, and rated debt that an entity guarantees.

Copyright © 2022 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.